The public and private delivery of EM Dan Kaminski

- A retreat of « direct gestion »
- A« public service »-style State
- A managerial State
- Technophily and iatrogeny
- A divised public sector
- A multiple private sector

Introduction: six (frustrated) prerequisites

- England & Wales vs Sweden
- Interactions or « stand alone »
- A governmental choice
- Economic consensus and captive market
- National differences
- A shared responsibility?

The forms of private delivery of EM

My general point of viewSix questions

- 1. How control the circular dynamics between public authority and private sector?
- 2. How and why a calculation of the costs of EM?

• 3. Who is the best, for which practice?

- a. To do something better or to do better things?
- b. How to require the quality of service?
- c. Which contract for a penological EM?
- d. How to compare the ethos of public and private delivery services ?

?	Public? administrators??? ?	Private 🕅 operators 🛛
Skills	support/2 supervision2	control/2 surveillance2
Aims	penological ^[2]	operational@nd2 technical2
Networks 🛛	social and Judiciary 🛛	economic and political 2
Anchoring ^[2]	national[[andf]ocal]]	global[[virtual]]
Temporality 🛛	continue 🕅 (public Service 🛛 requisite) 🖓	discontinue��flexibility of�enterprise@nd workers)
Obligations	means[[negociable]]	results[[evaluable]]
Client ²	convict and society 2	State
Culture	resistance ^[2] =>Intervention ^[3]	satisfaction2 =>1digidity10f1210odified12 (technical)12 intervention122
Client [®] satisfaction [®]	quantitatively2 non@neasurable172	quantitatively2 measurable12
Projection	reductionist	inflationist🛛

- 4. How to avoid the amplification of control?
- 5. How to avoid the aporia of reinsertion with EM?
- 6. How to develop knowledge?

Dan Kaminski dan.kaminski@uclouvain.be

R

ELECTRONICALLY MONITORED PUNISHMENT

EDITED BY MIKE NELLIS, KRISTEL BEYENS AND DAN KAMNSKI

